
Mr. William Took was such a good example in his episcopal virtues, that we do not propose to follow. Our business is with his political virtues. The Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge, or the Bible, is a very useful institution, yet we believe no real and permanent benefit is to be derived from it. We have not heard any good reason why this should not cease to exist. It is not a good plan to set up a printing-press in a town where there is no printing-press.

The first of the whole world's race, a speech by Mr. John T. Parkinson.
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